Attorney Ref. 20002.0383

REMARKS

Initially, the Applicants note that while the Office Action Summary reflects that claims 1-

11 are pending in the application, claims 1-14 are pending.

In the Restriction, the Examiner defined two species:

• Species a): those claims directed towards injecting the first and second material

sequentially or differently, and

Species b): those claims directed towards injecting the first and second materials

simultaneously.

The Examiner further stated that claims 1-11 are generic, and required the Applicants to include

a listing of claims readable on each of the defined species. The Applicants believe that claims 12

and 14 (which has been amended above to depend from claim 1) are directed to species a), and

claim 13 is directed to species b).

The Applicants elect to pursue the claims of Species a). Thus, the Applicants trust that

claims 1-12 and 14 will be examined, and claim 13 will be withdrawn. Should the Examiner feel

further communication would help prosecution, the Examiner is urged to call the undersigned at

the telephone number provided below.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: May 3, 2006

Sean P. O'Hanlon

Reg. No. 47,252

3000 K Street, NW

Suite 300

Washington, DC 20007

Bingham McCutchen LLP

(202) 373-6029